

E-Filing

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Nichia Corporation,
Plaintiff,
v.
Seoul Semiconductor Co., Ltd. and Seoul
Semiconductor, Inc.,
Defendants.

No. 3:06-CV-0162 (MMC)

VERDICT FORM

VERDICT FORM

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please answer all of the questions. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this court as our verdict in this case.

INFRINGEMENT

1. Has Nichia proven that it is more likely than not that Seoul's 902 LEDs infringe Nichia's '538 patent?

Yes No

2. Has Nichia proven that it is more likely than not that Seoul's 902 LEDs infringe Nichia's '784 patent?

Yes No

3. Has Nichia proven that it is more likely than not that Seoul's 902 LEDs infringe Nichia's '388 patent?

Yes No

4. Has Nichia proven that it is more likely than not that Seoul's 902 LEDs infringe Nichia's '385 patent?

Yes No

INVALIDITY

Please answer the following four questions, regardless of how you answered the first four questions.

5. Has Seoul proven that it is highly probable that the '538 patent is invalid?

Yes _____ No

6. Has Seoul proven that it is highly probable that the '784 patent is invalid?

Yes _____ No

7. Has Seoul proven that it is highly probable that the '388 patent is invalid?

Yes _____ No

8. Has Seoul proven that it is highly probable that the '385 patent is invalid?

Yes _____ No

SALES

If you answered question 1 "Yes" and question 5 "No," then please answer question 9:

9. Has Nichia proven that it is more likely than not that Seoul committed an act of infringement of the '538 patent within the United States after Seoul received notice from Nichia of the patent?

Yes No _____

WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

If you answered question 1 “Yes” and question 5 “No,” then please answer question 10:

10. Has Nichia proven that it is highly probable that Seoul willfully infringed Nichia’s ‘538 patent?

Yes No

If you answered question 2 “Yes” and question 6 “No,” then please answer question 11:

11. Has Nichia proven that it is highly probable that Seoul willfully infringed Nichia’s ‘784 patent?

Yes No

If you answered question 3 “Yes” and question 7 “No,” then please answer question 12:

12. Has Nichia proven that it is highly probable that Seoul willfully infringed Nichia’s ‘388 patent?

Yes No

If you answered question 4 “Yes” and question 8 “No,” then please answer question 13:

13. Has Nichia proven that it is highly probable that Seoul willfully infringed Nichia’s ‘385 patent?


Yes No

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Foreperson should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the court personnel that you have reached a verdict. The Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

Dated November 8, 2007

By:


Foreperson